

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILI | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------------------|------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/792,109. 03/03/2004 | | Axel Demain | F-745D1 | 4086 | |
| 25264 | 7590 | 03/10/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| FINA TEC | | Y INC | RABAGO, ROBERTO | | |
| PO BOX 674412 HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1713 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| W | |
|---|--|
| | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/792,109 | DEMAIN, AXEL | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Roberto Rábago | 1713 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 11-13 is/are pending in the application | ١. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are rejected. | Claim(s) <u>11-13</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | d in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | ` '' | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | or the certified copies flot receive | u. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/3/04</u> . | 6) Other: | · | (2 | | | | |

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. The priority claim is defective because it fails to include a reference to the earlier filed PCT application. Without the reference to the PCT application, applicants are not entitled to the foreign priority date as set forth in the declaration because more than one year would have elapsed between the two application fillings. Additionally, it is not understood why applicants have stated that this application is a <u>division</u> of the parent; in fact, the claims of this application are directed to the same invention as that elected and prosecuted in the parent, and therefore this application appears to be a continuation. In sum, it would appear that applicant's intended priority claim should properly read (as an amendment to the preliminary amendment to the specification filed 3/3/2004): "This application is a continuation of 09/939,097, filed 8/24/2001, now US Patent 6,727,332, which is a continuation of PCT/EP00/01736, filed 2/25/2000."

Furthermore, applicants' amendment to the specification filed 3/3/2004 did not specify that the new insertion was to replace paragraph 0001 in the specification as filed, and therefore it is still in the specification as originally filed. Accordingly, the portion of paragraph 0001 which appears to be a priority claim should be deleted.

Search and application of the prior art is based upon the presumption that the papers filed in this application represent an intent to claim priority to the foreign application filed 2/26/1999.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,109 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Specification

2. The abstract filed 8/5/2004 is objected to because it has no period at its end.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 11-13 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,727,332 in view of EP 881236. Despite minor changes in wording and scope, the instant claims are essentially the same as those already patented except for the additional limitation in the instant claims which requires the polymer to have a melt temperature of 139-144°C. However, the closest prior art cited on this record (EP 881236) has used a process for making isotactic polypropylene which is the same as that claimed instantly except for a minor difference in the substitution at the distal position of the Cp ring. The reference uses t-butyl while the claimed process requires a

Art Unit: 1713

cycloalkyl substituent. As shown in Table 1 of the reference, the T_m values claimed instantly are merely those obtained by the reference process. Therefore, although the patented claims are silent regarding T_m of the obtained polymer, the claimed range is the same range of values which those of ordinary skill in the art would expect.

In spite of the fact that applicants have stated that this application is a division of the parent application, the instant claims are not entitled to the protections of 35 USC 121 because the instant claims are drawn to the same type of invention as that prosecuted in the parent application.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

, Alt Office The

RR March 4, 2005